

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,458	04/13/2004	Rajesh Menon	MIT.10379	4712
7590 07/26/2005			EXAMINER	
Gauthier & Connors LLP			HASHMI, ZIA R	
Suite 3300 225 Franklin Street Boston, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2881	
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/823,458	MENON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Zia R. Hashmi	2881			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	3 April 2004.				
	his action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims	. · ·				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 13 April 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrunt 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje he drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a light common content.	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/823,458

Art Unit: 2881

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Veneklasen et al. (5,847,959), in view of Ashton et al. (5,051,598).
- 3. With respect to independent claims 1 and 11, Veneklasen et al. disclose a method and system for providing error correction in an imaging system (Abstract, lines 4-9), the system comprising: error determination means for determining an amount of error associated with a spot at (x,y) in a binary pattern to be imaged (col. 1, lines 15-24, 33-34, & 48-55, 140 in Fig. 2 & 340 in Fig. 7); determination means for determining the location of a nearest exposed spot at (xi, yi) for each spot (x,y) (col. 3, lines 50-53, col. 4, lines 24-27, col. 5, lines 35-43, and equation 1); and dose modification means for modifying an exposure dose (Abstract, lines 4-16, col. 3, lines 53-67, col. 4, lines 1-4, and col. 10 & 11, claim 1). It is understood that if a spot can be given a set of coordinates (x,y), then the nearest exposed spot can be given a different set of coordinates, say (xi,yi), and the meaning of binary pattern carries the conventional meaning, namely that a substrate provides distinctly clear and dark regions of a pattern.
- 4. With respect to independent claims 7 & 17, and dependent claim 4, Veneklasen et al. further disclose a method and system for providing error correction in a

Application/Control Number: 10/823,458

Art Unit: 2881

lithographic imaging system, the system comprising: exposed pattern simulation means for simulating an exposed pattern of a binary pattern to be imaged; thresholding means for determining a thresholding pattern by applying a thresholding function to the exposed pattern; error determination means for determining an amount of error associated with a spot at (x,y) in a binary pattern to be imaged corresponding to a difference between the thresholding pattern and the binary pattern; determination means for determining the location of a nearest exposed spot (xi,yi) for each spot (x,y), and dose modification means for modifying an exposure dose at the nearest exposed spot at (xi, yi) for each spot at (xi, yi) for each spot at (x,y) (col. 5, lines 16-33,col. 6, lines 23-61, and Fig. 3).

- 5. With respect to dependent claims 2 and 8, Veneklasen discloses a system wherein the error determination means includes determining a convolution of the pattern to be imaged with a point spread function (col. 5, equation 2, lines 66-67, col. 6, lines 5-13, equation 2.1, col. 7, lines 1-8, col. 8, lines 1-6, 230 in Fig. 3, and Fig. 4A & 4B).
- 6. With respect to independent claims 1, 3, 5-7 and 9-20, Veneklasen et al. fail to disclose specifically dose modifications means for modifying an exposure dose at the nearest exposed spot for each spot. Ashton et al., however, disclose such dose modifications means for correcting exposure dose at the nearest exposed spot (xi,yi) for each spot (x,y) (Abstract, lines 1-17, col. 6, lines 3-5 & 20-46, col. 9, lines 44-67, col. 17, claim 1, and Fig. 2).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time of the invention was made to combine the methods and apparatus of Veneklasen and Ashton

Application/Control Number: 10/823,458 Page 4

Art Unit: 2881

et al., because Veneklasen et al. teach (col. 1, lines 48-51) that correction of errors due to proximity effects is an important aspect of electron beam lithography, heightened by the trend to smaller geometries with increasingly complex patterns requiring greater precision and accuracy.

Conclusion

- 7. Van Dyck et al. disclose (6,920,368) a method and device for correcting proximity effects based on precompensated pattern of exposure doses of an electron beam required per pattern position, to obtain a desired pattern.
- 8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zia Hashmi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2473. The examiner can normally be reached between 8.30 AM- 5 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2477.

Zia Hashmi

July 20, 2005